	Case 3:10-md-02143-RS Document 354	Filed 01/25/11 Page 1 of 4 *E-Filed 1/25/11*	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Joseph Song (S.B. # 239494) songj@howrey.com HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street Suite 3600 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 848-4900 Fax: (415) 848-4999  John M. Taladay ( <i>Pro hac vice</i> ) James G. Kress ( <i>Pro hac vice</i> ) Andrew D. Lazerow ( <i>Pro hac vice</i> ) HOWREY LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Tel: (202) 783-0800 Fax: (202) 383-6610  Counsel for Defendants Koninklijke Philips		
12	Electronics N.V., Lite-On IT Corporation, Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions Corporation, and Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions U.S.A., Inc		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
5			
16 17 18	PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. M:10-cv-02143-RS  MDL No. 2143	
19 20	This Document Relates to:		
21 22	JOINT STIPULATION (AND PROPOSEI	O ORDER] TO EXTEND TIME WITHIN D TO COMPLAINT	
23	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2(a), the direct a	nd indirect purchaser plaintiffs (collectively,	
24	"Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. ("Philips"), Lite-On IT		
25	Corporation ("Lite-On"), and Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions Corporation ("PLDS") and		
26	Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions U.S.A., Inc. ("PLDS USA") (collectively, "Defendants"),		
27	hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the approval of the Court, to extend the time within which		
28	Defendants must move, answer, or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaints to no later JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME		

## Case 3:10-md-02143-RS Document 354 Filed 01/25/11 Page 2 of 4

than 30 days after an order of the Court that resolves the pending motions to dismiss ("MTDs Order"). In the event that the Court dismisses in its entirety the direct plaintiffs' or the indirect plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, Defendants need not respond to the operative complaint(s) that the Court dismissed.

The parties have agreed to this stipulation with the Court's January 13, 2011 Order (Dkt No. 347) in mind. Defendants herein are the only defendants that have not responded to the

The parties have agreed to this stipulation with the Court's January 13, 2011 Order (Dkt No. 347) in mind. Defendants herein are the only defendants that have not responded to the Amended Complaints. Plaintiffs believe that it is preferable for the currently scheduled February 16, 2011, hearing to go forward and to delay the briefing and hearing of Defendants' motion(s), if any, until after a ruling has issued on the initial group of motions. This is because, while the ruling on the initial motions may not be strictly binding on the Defendants, it likely would serve to narrow and focus any disputes that might remain. Defendants do not oppose the February 16, 2011 hearing going forward and represent that any motions to dismiss that they may file after the MTD Order will not reassert arguments the Court addressed in such Order, and will only introduce arguments that specifically relate to individualized issues.

This extension does not alter or extend any other date of any event or deadline already fixed by Court order. The date for Defendants to respond to the Amended Complaints has been twice extended by this Court on October 12, 2010 and November 18, 2010. This stipulation also does not apply to any other defendant in this matter.

18	does not apply to any other defendant in this	matter.
19	By: /s/ Guido Saveri Guido Saveri	By: /s/ Jeff D. Friedman Jeff D. Friedman
20	Saveri & Saveri, Inc. 706 Sansome Street	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
21	San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: 415-217-6810	Berkeley, CA 94710 Tel: 510-725-3000
22	Fax: 415-217-6813	Fax: 510-725-3001
23	Counsel for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs	Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs
24		By: /s/ Joseph Song Joseph Song (S.B. # 239494)
25		HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street
26		San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 848-4900
27		Fax: (415) 848-4999

Counsel for Defendants Koninklijke Philips

## Case 3:10-md-02143-RS Document 354 Filed 01/25/11 Page 3 of 4 Electronics N.V., Lite-On IT Corporation, Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions Corporation and Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions U.S.A., Inc.

## Case 3:10-md-02143-RS Document 354 Filed 01/25/11 Page 4 of 4